Docket No.: 3054-045

## **REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the subject application as amended herein is respectfully requested.

Claim 15 has been corrected to overcome informalities objected by the Examiner in the Office Action.

Further, claims 15, 17-18, 20, 22-27, 29-30 and 47 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Bryant (U.S. Patent No. 5,652,615) in view of Block (U.S. Patent No. 6,675,384). The Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections. Briefly, the present invention pertains to a system in which users in two different geographic locations can select to hear either a main audio track that is the same in all the locations or a second audio track that is in the same language as the main location.

Bryant discloses a system in which broadcasting programs are distributed to multiple geographic areas concurrently. However, Bryant does not disclose the user to use the parental supervision latch for selection of audio channel.

Block discloses a system in which a user can select either a main track or an alternate track that can be played if the main track has unacceptable language.

However, the alternate track does not contain a plurality of segments as described herein nor does it contain the ability for the user to use the parental supervision latch for selection of audio channel.

The Examiner rejected the claims of the present application as obvious over Bryant in view of Brock. However, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner has

Docket No.: 3054-045

failed to provide any suggestions or other impetus for a person skilled in the art to

combine these references and result in the use of the parental supervision latch for

selection of audio channel. In Block, a user can decide not to play offensive content by

switching to the alternate audio track. Obviously, this choice has nothing to do with

geographic location or use of the latch. Neither reference discloses the specific

structure for selection of the secondary or alternative audio tracks as defined here and

as described claims 27 and 46.

In conclusion, it is respectfully submitted that the subject application is

patentably distinguishable over the prior art and should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN, P.C.

Attorneys for Applicant

270 Madison Avenue

New York, New York 10016-0601

Telephone: (212) 684 3900 Telefax: (212) 684 3999

Bv:

Tiberlu Weisz, Esq.

Reg. No. 29,876

Date: December /2 2007

S:\zoya\Resp.2007\3054-45, final.doc

9